
From: Les Brown [Redacted]
Sent: 18 September 2008 11:17
To: info_fingerprint
Subject: Fw: murder of marion ross.

----- Original Message -----

From: Les Brown
To: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2008 10:29 PM
Subject: Fw: murder of marion ross.

----- Original Message -----

From: Les Brown
To: [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, May 25, 2007 10:17 AM
Subject: Fw: murder of marion ross.

----- Original Message -----

From: Les Brown
To: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, May 21, 2007 9:55 AM
Subject: Fw: murder of marion ross.

----- Original Message -----

From: Les Brown
To: DCA PA (G Leslie/G Sandison)
Sent: Wednesday, May 16, 2007 9:49 AM
Subject: Fw: murder of marion ross.

----- Original Message -----

From: Les Brown
To: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, May 08, 2007 11:01 PM
Subject: murder of marion ross.

Part 2

Asbury is now walking the streets of Kilmarnock, or where ever possibly wearing a watch with Marion Ross's DNA this despite the overwhelming evidence that

he murdered this woman in the most horrific manner and may well finish up a millionaire. I have it on good authority that there are several serving police officers

who could correct this farce if they only had the courage and integrity of Fiona McBride who phoned me on tuesday 1st inst with the shocking news that she had just been sacked

because she had refused to be bullied into accepting a job with a salary of £13,500 as opposed to her current salary of over £30,000. That was bad enough but when she told me

that she had been escorted to the front door of the building with police officers standing by in case of trouble it made me ashamed that I was once part of this organisation.

With her approval I contacted the Herald and despite the impending election they agreed with me that this was right out of order resulting in the publication on Thursday

exposing the unfair dismissal of a loyal employee who had served Strathclyde Police for over 22 years. I have to say that since the publication of the article I am being

contacted by police officers from all over offering assistance and again naming P C Mark Lees as the main cause of all this disastrous situation.

Prior to this development I had I requested an opportunity to precognose Lees, paid the £118 and was advised to contact him at his place of work Stewarton Police. I did this on

25th Jan 2007 by telephone and he agreed to meet with me adding, "I am night shift Friday & Saturday, then off on Sunday so if you phone me on Monday I will arrange a meet with

you here at Stewarton". I phoned on the Monday as suggested to be told that Lees had reported sick on the day I had spoken with him. Any doubts that I had of Lees being

involved were rapidly disappearing. On the Monday Lees section sgt phoned me to say that Lees had been advised by Strathclyde Police Legal Dept that if he didn't

wish to be precognosed he was quite entitled to say so. Despite my many years of investigating very serious crimes and being precognosed many times I have never heard of a

police officer declining to be precognosed. I sought the advice of a Regional Procurator Fiscal (not Glasgow) and he hadn't heard of it either and neither had a very prominent

solicitor. I then contacted MSP Ken McIntosh (representing three of the SCRO officers) and he wrote to the Chief Constable agreeing with me that I was being obstructed by

Strathclyde Police. The response was totally unexpected, but very welcome. I was visited at my home by Det Ch Supt Nicholson and Det Supt Mitchell I informed them of what I had

discovered re Const Lees and a Const Morgan. Six weeks later I contacted Det Supt Mitchell to be told that they had interviewed Morgan and as it was uncorroborated

they had decided not to take the matter further. When I asked how the interview with Lees had gone I was astonished to be told that they had decided not to interview him

On Sunday 6th inst having paid the required £118 I interviewed Const Morgan and found that he is not involved with Lees in any way and I found that the information that he was

a pal of Lees was totally incorrect and told him so.

This morning (8th) I telephoned Stewarton Police Office and Lees answered the phone. My

reason for doing so is that I am aware that there are several High Court cases looming

including David Asbury V The Scottish Ministers (McBrides Solicitor will be arranging for Lees to be cited as a witness to give evidence on Oath) plus the promised Judicial

Enquiry into the McKie case by Alex Salmond. This will be in front of a Judge , witnesses will be cited and examined under Oath. If the allegations against Lees are true, and

close scrutiny of the transcript of the evidence by McKie and Lees shows a discrepancy in their evidence I am in no doubt that Y7 is Shirley McKies fingerprint and she put it there I

am also in no doubt that Lees was on door duty when she gained entry but he didnt allow her entry she simply walked past him whilst he was seated at the desk and wasnt able to

prevent her entry. This is borne out by a SOCO officer who overheard Lees and McKie in discussion, within the porch area, he heard the word contamination., so why was that word

used she was simply handing in loggin sheets (according to her)

After Lees had given his evidence the advocate Depute passed a remark that Lees had just committed perjury. Prior to the McKie perjury trial Lees sought the advixce of an

Inspector based at Kilmarnock and was advised to tell the truth.

Lees has indicated to at least one other officer that if the truth comes out he will be facing ten years in prison.

My purpose in talking to him was to seperate fact from fiction. I am convinced that if he doesnt seek or obtain advice particularly if he didnt "let her into the house" but simply

could not prevent her from doing so ,he will be faced with situations where he will be requiredto give evidence on oath with consequences too horrendous to contemplate.

Do bear in mind that if Lees has knowledge that Shirley McKie was in the murder house, by supressing that evidence, he has allowed Marion Ross,s killer to escape justice

knowing the horrendous injuries inflicted on this defenceless woman..

It will take courage from him to tell the truth and if he did he would gain the respect from those who are now convinced he is responsible for this tragic affair which has

affected so many lives including the relatives of Marion Ross.

I will not cease in my efforts to be given access to Lees and I genuinely believe I could convince him this has gone on long enough. Only he knows the truth.

In addition I will make myself available to any Court and will repeat my conviction that Lees was present when McKie entered the house . If Lees has nothing to hide then he has no

reason to avoid a meeting with me. If he is tellingthe truth he has nothing to fear from me although I have to admit his actions when I first contacted him do tend to suggest

otherwise.

I have ascertained that if Lees were to make a voluntary statement with a guarantee of immunity from prosecution solicitors representing Fiona McBride

will give him a written legal Undertaking that his evidence will be preserved and protected with absolute confidentiality. pending a written assurance from the present Lord

Advocate.. I have reason to believe that Lord McCluskey highly respected retired High Court Judge would be asked to personally witness the interview.

I respectfully suggest that by whatever means, Lees be made aware of this offer. If I were to be given permission to meet with him I would advise him to give the offer

serious consideration.

I am content that you are now aware of the problems facing Const Lees and that you have it within your power to allow me to speak with him, (with or without payment of £118)

If Lees continues or is allowed to avoid me that will be viewed by everyone including his colleagues as not the actions of a genuine truthful person.

Regards.

Les Brown (Redacted)

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Cable&Wireless in partnership with MessageLabs. (CCTM Certificate Number 2007/11/0032.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.