

## THE COMPARATIVE EXERCISE - PHASE 2

Two Master Volumes have been compiled containing for Y7 and Q12 respectively.

**Phase 2 - Part 2 of this comparative exercise is for Q12.**

You are invited to contribute to this phase of the comparative exercise. This is to comment, in table format, on the material provided in Master Volume 2.

<b>Master Volume 2</b>
<p><b>The case for Identification SCRO - (SCRO)</b></p> <p><b>Chart 2S</b> - Marked up enlargement of one mark in Q12 x 8 (Produced from scanned image of original photograph by SERIS - MPS) and the Right Fore Finger impression x 8 of Marion Ross post mortem set dated 10/01/1997 (Produced from scanned image of the original fingerprint form by SERIS - MPS)</p> <p><b>Table 3S</b> giving a brief description of the characteristics marked on the images – e.g. bifurcation or ridge ending and the number of intervening ridges between each ridge characteristic.</p> <p><b>Analysis 3S</b></p>
<p><b>The case against Identification - ('Principal Contradictor' - Wertheim)</b></p> <p><b>Chart 2W</b> - Marked up enlargement of one mark in Q12 x 8 (Produced from scanned image of original photograph by SERIS - MPS) and the Right Fore Finger impression x 8 of Marion Ross post mortem set dated 10/01/1997 (Produced from scanned image of the original fingerprint form by SERIS - MPS)</p> <p><b>Table 4W</b> giving a brief description of the characteristics marked on the images – e.g. bifurcation or ridge ending and the number of intervening ridges between each ridge characteristic.</p> <p><b>Analysis 4W</b></p>

**The case against Identification - ('Principal Contradictor' - Zeelenberg)**

**Chart 2Z** - Marked up enlargement of one mark in Q12 x 8 (Produced from scanned image of original photograph by SERIS - MPS) and the Right Fore Finger impression x 8 of Marion Ross post mortem set dated 10/01/1997(Produced from scanned image of the original fingerprint form by SERIS - MPS)

**Table 4Z** giving a brief description of the characteristics marked on the images – e.g. bifurcation or ridge ending and the number of intervening ridges between each ridge characteristic.

**Analysis 4Z**

**The case against Identification - ('Principal Contradictor' - Grigg)**

**Chart 2G** - Marked up enlargement of one mark in Q12 x 8 (Produced from scanned image of original photograph by SERIS - MPS) and the Right Fore Finger impression x 8 of Marion Ross post mortem set dated 10/01/1997(Produced from scanned image of the original fingerprint form by SERIS - MPS)

**Table 4G** giving a brief description of the characteristics marked on the images – e.g. bifurcation or ridge ending and the number of intervening ridges between each ridge characteristic.

**Analysis 4G**

**The case against Identification - ('Principal Contradictor' - MacLeod)**

**Chart 2M** - Marked up enlargement of one mark in Q12 x 8 (Produced from scanned image of original photograph by SERIS - MPS) and the Right Fore Finger impression x 8 of Marion Ross post mortem set dated 10/01/1997(Produced from scanned image of the original fingerprint form by SERIS - MPS)

**Table 4M** giving a brief description of the characteristics marked on the images – e.g. bifurcation or ridge ending and the number of intervening ridges between

each ridge characteristic.

#### **Analysis 4M**

#### **The task for those presenting the case for Identification – (SCRO)**

You are asked to comment on the case against Identification, by completing Tables 4 (a), 4 (b), 4 (c) and 4 (d) respectively, based on the 'Principal Contradictors' findings. State whether you agree that the indicated differences exist and, if so, what is your explanation for discounting that difference?

#### **The task for those presenting the case against Identification - ('Principal Contradictors' - Wertheim, Zeelenberg, Grigg and MacLeod)**

You are asked to comment on the case for Identification, by completing Table 3 (a) based on SCRO findings. State whether you agree or disagree to the presence of points in agreement and, if a characteristic is disputed, explain briefly the reason for disputing it.


#### **Other Contributors**

You are asked to comment on the case for Identification, by completing Table 3 (a) based on SCRO findings. State whether you agree or disagree to the presence of points in agreement and, if a characteristic is disputed, explain briefly the reason for disputing it.

You are asked to comment on the case against Identification, by completing Tables 4 (a), 4 (b), 4 (c) and 4 (d) respectively, based on the 'Principal Contradictors' findings. State whether you agree that the indicated differences exist and, if so, what is your explanation for discounting that difference?

**Table 4 (a) : For SCRO and 'Other Contributors' to comment on Chart 2W, Table 4W and Analysis 4W produced by 'Principal Contradictor' - Wertheim**


No. of Characteristic	Type of Characteristic	Agree (Yes/No) presence of difference	Reason for discounting
1	2/END	<del>Yes</del> No	-
2.	BIFURCATION	<del>Yes</del> No	-
3.	Unclear	Yes.	Cannot see a Bifurcation
4.	SILVER END	<del>Yes</del> No	-
5.	BIFURCATION	Yes.	Cannot see from 4-5 or 1-5 -
6 -	Bifurcation in mark	Yes	No Bifurcation in print
7.	REDUCE END	Yes.	The Bifurcation is in print.

Signature:   
 Name: A J Bove  
 Date: 20. 8. 09

**Table 4 (b) : For SCRO and 'Other Contributors' to comment on Chart 2Z,**

**Table 4Z and Analysis 4Z produced by 'Principal Contradictor' - Zeelenberg**


No. of Characteristic	Type of Characteristic	Agree (Yes/No) presence of difference	Reason for discounting
1	None		Unclear
2	Bikam	Present	None
3.4.	Ride out.	Bikam in print	Conn of 2 to 3 to 4
6	Bikam	Ride out in print	
5	Ride out	not in print	
7.	Ride out in print	non exist in print	
8.		— 11 —	
9.	Ride out	Agree	—
10.	Ride out	Agree	
11	Ride out	disagree	non exist

Signature:   
 Name: ALLAN STARK  
 Date: 20. 8. 2005

**Table 4 (c) : For SCRO and 'Other Contributors' to comment on Chart 2G.**


**Table 4G and Analysis 4G produced by 'Principal Contradictor' - Grigg**

No. of Characteristic	Type of Characteristic	Agree (Yes/No) presence of difference	Reason for discounting
1	- MAMM Ridge cut	Part Disagree	Cannot cut to be one
2	- 11 -	Disagree	.
3.	Short Ridge	Agree Ridge	?
4.	Disagree	Agree	Too many intensity lines 3-4
5.	Ridge cut	Agree, but	There is a Ridge near to IV. not in part.
6	Disagree	Agree	.
7.	Agree Ridge	Cannot see a border in part MAMM	
8.	Long Ridge	Same in part.	

Signature:   
 Name: Allan Danks  
 Date: 20. 8. 09.

**Table 4 (d) : For SCRO and 'Other Contributors' to comment on Chart 2M, Table 4M and Analysis 4M produced by 'Principal Contradictor' - MacLeod**

No. of Characteristic	Type of Characteristic	Agree (Yes/No) presence of difference	Reason for discounting
1+2	Small Lake in print.	normal Ridge	in mass.
3	R-KIDG.	R/KIDG.	
4.	How Ridge	How Ridge	
5.	Its Chwaters.		
6.	B, hum	Same in print.	
7+8	Can't see	ng detail in print.	
9,10,11	Where are the comparison parts?		

Signature:   
 Name: AUSA Dink  
 Date: 21. 8.09

**Table 3 (a) : For 'Principal Contradictors' and 'Other Contributors' to comment on Chart 2S, Table 3S and Analysis 3S produced by SCRO.**

No. of Characteristic	Type of Characteristic	Does characteristic match in mark and print? (Yes or No)?	If no, why not?
1	Unclear	no	no clear detail.
2	B. f. m.	YEs.	
3	Unclear	Ido	no clear detail.
4	Ridge print end	unclear in MARK	
5	) unclear.	Shall try to pair	
6			
7	Ridge end	Ridge end Ido	
8	Ridge end	Ido	Ridge end. Pig in detail detail
9	Ridge end. mark	Ridge in mark Ido	different character.
10	Ridge end	YEs.	

11	broke detail	Should not have been used and you could get	
12	two bikers together	not no	not to see a bit print. 10-11.
13	Normal Ride.	no	Ride end in print.
14	Ride end	46	But entering ride from the end 600 m
15	Ride end	Ride end	
16	normal ride in case	extend ← 100 Ride unit.	

Signature:

*Alan Bank*

Name:

ALAN BANK

Date:

21. 8. 09

There is a Ride end at the top of the case in the mark which is not in the print.