

## THE COMPARATIVE EXERCISE - PHASE 2

Two Master Volumes have been compiled containing for Y7 and Q12 respectively.

**Phase 2 - Part 2 of this comparative exercise is for Q12.**

You are invited to contribute to this phase of the comparative exercise. This is to comment, in table format, on the material provided in Master Volume 2.

Master Volume 2
<p><b>The case for Identification SCRO - (SCRO)</b></p> <p><b>Chart 2S</b> - Marked up enlargement of one mark in Q12 x 8 (Produced from scanned image of original photograph by SERIS - MPS) and the Right Fore Finger impression x 8 of Marion Ross post mortem set dated 10/01/1997(Produced from scanned image of the original fingerprint form by SERIS - MPS)</p> <p><b>Table 3S</b> giving a brief description of the characteristics marked on the images – e.g. bifurcation or ridge ending and the number of intervening ridges between each ridge characteristic.</p> <p><b>Analysis 3S</b></p>
<p><b>The case against Identification - ('Principal Contradictor' - Wertheim)</b></p> <p><b>Chart 2W</b> - Marked up enlargement of one mark in Q12 x 8 (Produced from scanned image of original photograph by SERIS - MPS) and the Right Fore Finger impression x 8 of Marion Ross post mortem set dated 10/01/1997(Produced from scanned image of the original fingerprint form by SERIS - MPS)</p> <p><b>Table 4W</b> giving a brief description of the characteristics marked on the images – e.g. bifurcation or ridge ending and the number of intervening ridges between each ridge characteristic.</p> <p><b>Analysis 4W</b></p>

**The case against Identification - ('Principal Contradictor' - Zeelenberg)**

**Chart 2Z** - Marked up enlargement of one mark in Q12 x 8 (Produced from scanned image of original photograph by SERIS - MPS) and the Right Fore Finger impression x 8 of Marion Ross post mortem set dated 10/01/1997(Produced from scanned image of the original fingerprint form by SERIS - MPS)

**Table 4Z** giving a brief description of the characteristics marked on the images – e.g. bifurcation or ridge ending and the number of intervening ridges between each ridge characteristic.

**Analysis 4Z**

**The case against Identification - ('Principal Contradictor' - Grigg)**

**Chart 2G** - Marked up enlargement of one mark in Q12 x 8 (Produced from scanned image of original photograph by SERIS - MPS) and the Right Fore Finger impression x 8 of Marion Ross post mortem set dated 10/01/1997(Produced from scanned image of the original fingerprint form by SERIS - MPS)

**Table 4G** giving a brief description of the characteristics marked on the images – e.g. bifurcation or ridge ending and the number of intervening ridges between each ridge characteristic.

**Analysis 4G**

**The case against Identification - ('Principal Contradictor' - MacLeod)**

**Chart 2M** - Marked up enlargement of one mark in Q12 x 8 (Produced from scanned image of original photograph by SERIS - MPS) and the Right Fore Finger impression x 8 of Marion Ross post mortem set dated 10/01/1997(Produced from scanned image of the original fingerprint form by SERIS - MPS)

**Table 4M** giving a brief description of the characteristics marked on the images – e.g. bifurcation or ridge ending and the number of intervening ridges between

each ridge characteristic.

#### **Analysis 4M**

#### **The task for those presenting the case for Identification – (SCRO)**

You are asked to comment on the case against Identification, by completing Tables 4 (a), 4 (b), 4 (c) and 4 (d) respectively, based on the 'Principal Contradictors' findings. State whether you agree that the indicated differences exist and, if so, what is your explanation for discounting that difference?

#### **The task for those presenting the case against Identification - ('Principal Contradictors' - Wertheim, Zeelenberg, Grigg and MacLeod)**

You are asked to comment on the case for Identification, by completing Table 3 (a) based on SCRO findings. State whether you agree or disagree to the presence of points in agreement and, if a characteristic is disputed, explain briefly the reason for disputing it.

#### **Other Contributors**

You are asked to comment on the case for Identification, by completing Table 3 (a) based on SCRO findings. State whether you agree or disagree to the presence of points in agreement and, if a characteristic is disputed, explain briefly the reason for disputing it.

You are asked to comment on the case against Identification, by completing Tables 4 (a), 4 (b), 4 (c) and 4 (d) respectively, based on the 'Principal Contradictors' findings. State whether you agree that the indicated differences exist and, if so, what is your explanation for discounting that difference?









**Table 3 (a) : For 'Principal Contradictors' and 'Other Contributors' to comment on Chart 2S, Table 3S and Analysis 3S produced by SCRO.**

No. of Characteristic	Type of Characteristic	Does characteristic match in mark and print? (Yes or No)?	If no, why not?
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			


*disagree with SCRO conclusions*

11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			

Signature:

Name:

Date:

  
PAT A. WESTHEIM  
28 August 2009